

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/505,621		HOLLAR ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Zachary A. Davis		2137	

All Participants:

(1) Zachary A. Davis.

(2) Norman Klivans.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner left a message requesting confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed to the outstanding notice of non-responsive amendment mailed 14 March 2006. Mr. Klivans returned the call and left a message indicating that no reply had been filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed - after non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)